

**GOTTLIEB, RACKMAN & REISMAN, P.C.**

**270 Madison Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
(212) 684-3900 phone  
(212) 684-3999 fax**

**FACSIMILE COVER SHEET**

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE:** The information contained in this facsimile message is privileged and confidential, intended only for the use of the individual or entity named below. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copy of this telecopy is strictly prohibited. If you have received this telecopy in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the address listed above via the United States Postal Service. We will reimburse for postage on all such returned messages.

**TO:** Examiner Kiên T. Nguyen -Art Unit 3712

**COMPANY:** United States Patent & Trademark Office

**FAX NO.** (703) 305-3579

**FROM:** George Gottlieb [Angela Bowie]

We are sending a communication of **5** page(s) (including this cover sheet). Please call (212) 684-3900 immediately if transmission is interrupted or of poor quality.

**REF:** 1136/032

**DATE:** November 22, 2002

**FAX RECEIVED  
NOV 22 2002  
GROUP 3700**

**GOTTLIEB, RACKMAN & REISMAN, P.C.**

COUN ELORS AT LAW

PATENTS • TRADEMARKS • COPYRIGHTS • INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

270 MADISON AVENUE

NEW YORK, N.Y. 10016-0601

PHONE: (212) 684-3900 • FACSIMILE: (212) 684-3999

WEB: <http://www.grr.com> • E-MAIL: [info@grr.com](mailto:info@grr.com)

JAMES REISMAN  
MICHAEL I. RACKMAN  
GEORGE GOTTLIEB  
BARRY A. COOPER  
DAVID S. RACKMAN  
ALLEN I. RUBENSTEIN  
JEFFREY M. KADEN  
AMY S. GOLDSMITH  
TIBERIU WEJZ  
MARIA A. SAVIO

OF COUNSEL  
DIANA MULLER\*  
NORBERT P. HOLLER

\*MEMBER OF THE BAR  
OF ARGENTINA ONLY

DONNA MIRMAN BROOME  
BARBARA H. LOEWENTHAL  
JODY I. HAWKE  
MARC P. NISTHAL  
FRANK O. DECOLVENAERE  
RAYMOND S. CHURCHILL, JR.  
RICHARD S. SCHURIN  
STEVEN STERN

PATENT AGENT  
ZOYA V. CHERNINA

November 22, 2002

**VIA FACSIMILE**

United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D. C. 20231

Attn: Examiner Kien T Nguyen

**Re: Applicant: David Stein**  
**Title: Waterproof Lyrics Display**  
**Attorney Docket No.: 1136/002**  
**Application No.: 09/998,618**  
**Filing Date: 11/30/01**  
**Art Unit: 3712**

**FAX RECEIVED**

NOV 22 2002

**GROUP 3700**

Dear Examiner Nguyen:

Enclosed please find communication from the Applicant, David Stein, dated October 5, 2002.

Please consider this to be a request for re-consideration.

When you have reviewed this communication, kindly contact the undersigned for further discussion.

Very truly yours,

GOTTLIEB, RACKMAN &amp; REISMAN

  
George Gottlieb

GG:dab  
1136/032  
Encl.

*Reconsideration*  
*S. Zimmerman*

David Stein  
319 West 106th Street  
New York, NY 10025

October 5, 2002

212-663-2325

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, DC, 20231  
Attn: Kien T. Nguyen, Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3712

Re: Application 09/998,618  
Waterproof Lyrics Display  
Filing Date: 11/30/2001  
Inventor: David Stein

Dear Kien T. Nguyen,

Your first office communication mailed 08/15/2002-- in which my claims 1 - 36 were rejected as being unpatentable over Thurber U.S. Patent 1,087,336-- has been received. In reply, let's begin by reviewing a few key paragraphs of my application:

"This invention aids singing in the shower. A preferred embodiment is a waterproof book of song lyrics which when wetted adheres to the shower wall. For best adhesion, the book has the slim proportions of a magazine (eg. 8.5" x 11" x 1/4") or pamphlet (eg. 5.5" x 8.5" x 1/16"). It is flexibly bound so its wet pages adhere flat to the wall and one another. It is easily moveable on the wall for eye-level viewing by children and adults of different heights. Its large (eg. 18-point) font sizes are easy to read in shadowy light and streaming water. For thicker books, additional support by suction cups or brackets can be provided. Also disclosed are waterproof posters adhering wet to the shower wall and displaying lyrics, photos of musicians, or other art. A series of waterproof lyrics collections (CDs possibly included) would offer singers in the shower all the best of popular song."

"Seventeen percent of Americans, about 40,000,000 people, sing in the shower (American Standard Co. survey, 1999). However, as folksinger Pete Seeger has pointed out, the average singer knows many song melodies, but few of the lyrics. An aid enabling singers in the shower to learn *all* the lyrics of popular songs might therefore sell millions of copies-- and help singers everywhere."

Indeed, my invention could turn every shower in America into a singing school. This could greatly improve music education while reaping profits in the millions. Yet nobody seems ever to have thought of it.

Why? Because although the invention is simple, it is far from obvious. And it most certainly isn't obvious from Thurber 1,087,336, as proven by details:

The preferred embodiment of my waterproof lyrics display is supported by *water adhesion* (Fig. 1A and 1B). A *thin water film* 13 is provided, which adheres the display 12 to shower enclosure surface 10. To maximize this adhesion, the

display is light in weight and proportioned as a slim *magazine* (Page 8, Par. 2) or *pamphlet* (Page 8, Par. 4). It can even be a *single sheet* (Fig. 7A and 7B) of waterproof paper 26 with thin water film 27 adhering to surface 10.

No discovered prior art mentions support by water adhesion, and Thompson doesn't either. He provides no water film. He ignores weight and proportion. If water adhesion were attempted, Thompson's thick top-heavy chart (his Fig. 2) would fall off the wall-- as would even thicker charts suggested by hook 6 (Fig. 6). Even if a Thompson chart were slimly proportioned, his eyelets 5 (Fig. 6) would hold the top of cover 1 slightly away from the wall, interrupting water adhesion-- and causing the chart to fall.

By these arguments, the following claims that recite water adhesion for supporting a waterproof lyrics display should be allowed: Claims 4, 15, 16, 17, 18, 24, 25, 26, 31, 32, 33, and 34.

Other embodiments of my display (Figs. 2 and 3) are supported by *suction cups* (Figs. 4A, 4B, 4C). These suction cups-- like the water adhesion support-- make the display *readily attachable* (Page 2, Par. 2) and *readily detachable* on the shower wall, both display and wall remaining intact (Page 3, Par. 1). The suction cups also make the display "*easily moveable* on the wall, for eye-level viewing by children or adults of different heights standing in the shower" (Page 3, Par. 2). These same remarks apply to bracket suction cup 24 (Figs. 5 and 6, and Page 11, Par. 3).

But Thompson's chart, which hangs from a metal hook (shown unnumbered, eg. in his Figure 1) is not readily attachable to a shower enclosure. The hook must be drilled and screwed into the hard shower surface (tile, stone, glass, fibreglass). Nor is Thompson's hook readily detachable with surface intact-- it leaves an unsightly hole. Not being moveable without tools, Thompson's chart could not adjust to the eye-levels of an average family of children and adults wanting to sing in the shower.

By these arguments, the following claims should be granted: Claim 1 (and dependent claims) reciting a readily attachable support means; Claim 3 reciting readily moveable and attachable support means; Claims 4, 15, 16, 17, 18, 24, 25, 26, 31, 32, 33, and 34, all reciting water adhesion; Claim 7 (and dependent claims) reciting at least one suction cup; Claims 14 (and dependent claim 21), 30, and 36, all reciting at least one suction cup.

Every component of my waterproof lyrics display is waterproof, specifically designed for the downpour in a shower-- the equivalent of several rainstorms daily. In my application, "waterproof paper or plastic" is preferred, "laminated paper" being allowed (Page 9, Par. 2). "Plastic spiral binding" is preferred, "waterproof thread, rings, glue" and "water-resistant" staples being allowed (Page 9, Par. 3). All suction cup designs (Figs. 4A, 4B, 4C) are waterproof "vinyl" (Page 11, Par. 2), avoiding metal parts that might corrode. Book cover 19C (Fig. 4C) is waterproof "vinyl" (Page 11, Par. 2), and bracket 24 (Figs. 5 and 6) is waterproof "plastic or

rubber"(Page 11, Par. 3). This kind of thoroughly waterproof design is critical to displaying anything in a shower.

But as the Office Action admits (Page 3, Par. 3), Thurber fails to teach a *waterproof display*. In fact Thurber's details show he did not consider waterproofing at all. His "paper" chart 8, "cloth" strips 3 and 12, and "stitching" 9 (all in his Fig. 3) would rot when wet. His "metallic eyelets" 5 and "hooks" 4 (Fig. 6) would corrode. His chart would be ruined by one heavy rain, not to mention downpours day after day, month after month, in a shower. His chart is not "adaptable" (Office Action, Page 3, Par 1) to the extreme wet of the shower without changing every detail of its construction.

The Office Action also admits (Page 3, Par. 1) that Thurber fails to teach display *in a shower enclosure*. And he certainly does not teach display of *song lyrics* therein, or that said lyrics must be "easy to read in shadowy light and streaming water" requiring "large font sizes on large pages" (my Page 3, Par. 3). And these factors are absolutely critical to my invention.

By these arguments, all my claims, 1 - 36, reciting a waterproof lyrics display to aid singing in the shower, should be allowed.

The examiner's objections to Claims 13, 14, 18, and 20 for being indefinite are traversed below\*\*\*\*\*

Respectfully submitted,  
David Stein

